

# Internal Appeals Procedure 2024/25

#### Key staff involved in the plan

Role	Name(s)
Head of Centre	Andy Scott-Evans
Exams officer line manager (Senior Leader)	Gus Fenn
Exams Officer	Liz Dent
SENCo	Claire Dowsett
SLT member(s)	Nina Chapman
	Kerry Course
	Gus Fenn
	Charlie Hurdle
	Emma-Jane Sharp
	Sally Trebess

#### Contents

Key staff involved in the plan	1
Purpose of the procedure	3
Appeals relating to internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)	4
Appeals against decisions to reject a candidate's work on the grounds of malpractice	7
Appeals relating to centre decisions not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal	8
Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration	11
Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to other administrative issues	13
Further guidance to inform and implement appeals	16

#### Purpose of the procedure

This procedure confirms Becket Keys Church of England School's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (sections 5.3z, 5.8) that the centre will:

- have in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written internal appeals
  procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding internal assessment decisions, post-result services
  and appeals, and centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration
- draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers its written internal appeals procedure

This procedure covers appeals relating to:

- Internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)
- Centre decisions not to support an application for clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- Centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration
- Centre decisions relating to other administrative issues

#### Appeals relating to internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

Certain qualifications contain components/units of non-examination assessment, controlled assessment and/or coursework which are internally assessed (marked) by centres and internally standardised. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

The qualifications delivered at Becket Keys Church of England School containing internally assessed components/units are:

Subject	Level	Board	Specification Code	Unit Details
Art and Design: Art, Craft and Design	GCSE	AQA	8201	Component 1 NEA: Portfolio
Art and Design: Art, Grait and Design				Component 2 NEA: Externally set assignment
Art and Design: Fine Art	A Level	AQA	7202	Component 1 NEA: Personal investigation
Art and Design. File Art				Component 2 NEA: Externally set assignment
Art and Design: Textile Design	GCSE	AQA	8204	Component 1 NEA: Portfolio
Art and Design. Texate Design	0001			Component 2 NEA: Externally set assignment
Art and Design: Textile Design	A Level	AOA	7204	Component 1 NEA: Personal investigation
Art and Design. Textile Design	ALEVEL		7204	Component 2 NEA: Externally set assignment
Computer Science	A Level	OC R	H446	Component 3 NEA: Programming project
Design and Technology	GCSE	AQA	8552	NEA
Design and Technology	A Level	AQA	7552	NEA
Drama	GCSE	Edexcel	1 DR0 B	Component 1 NEA: Devising
Drama	A Level	Edexcel	9D R0	Component 1 NEA: Devising
English Language	GCSE	Edexcel	1 EN0	Spoken Language Endorsement
English Literature	A Level	Edexcel	9ET0	Component 4: NEA
Extended Project (EPQ)	Level 3	AQA	7993	Level 3 extended project
Food Preparation and Nutrition	GCSE	AQA	8585	NEA
Geography	A Level	AQA	7037	Component 3 NEA: Geography fieldwork investigation
History	A Level	AQA	7042 CN	NEA: Historical Investigation
Media Studies	A Level	WJEC Eduqas	A680 QS	Component 3 NEA: Cross-Media Production
Music	GCSE	OC R	J536 A	Component 1 NEA: Integrated portfolio
Music	0032			Component 3 NEA: Practical component
Music	A Level	OC R	H543 B	Unit 2 NEA: Performing B
ויועגוכ				Unit 4 NEA: C omposing B
PE	GCSE	AQA	8582	NEA: Practical performance in physical activity and sport
PE	A Level	AQA	7582	NEA: Practical performance in physical activity and sport

This procedure confirms Becket Keys Church of England School's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.7) that the centre will:

- have in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written internal appeals
  procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are
  communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates
- before submitting marks to the awarding body inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking

### Becket Keys A Level NEA Deadlines – 2024/25



Exam Board	Level	Subjects	Deadline for marks to exam board	Deadline for student request for reviews	Marks to be given to students after marking and moderation	NEAs completed and handed in by students	
AQA	A Level	Design and Technology Geography History PE	15 <sup>th</sup> May	2 <sup>nd</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April	
194	Extended Project	EPQ	15 <sup>th</sup> May	2 <sup>nd</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April	
	A Level	Art and Design Textiles	31 <sup>st</sup> May	16 <sup>th</sup> May	9 <sup>th</sup> May	2 <sup>nd</sup> May	
	A Level	English Literature	15 <sup>th</sup> May	2 <sup>nd</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April	
Edexcel		Mandarin (Speaking)	15 <sup>th</sup> May	N/a	N/a	N/a	
EUEACEI	A Level	Drama	15 <sup>th</sup> May	2 <sup>nd</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April	
		Spanish (Speaking)	15 <sup>th</sup> May	N/a	N/a	N/a	
	A Level	Music Computer Science	15 <sup>th</sup> May	2 <sup>nd</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April	
OCR	A Level (PAG)	Biology Chemistry Physics	15 <sup>th</sup> May	N/a	N/a	N/a	
	Level 3 Applied	Food Science and Nutrition (Unit 4)	15 <sup>th</sup> May	2 <sup>nd</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April	
WJEC/Eduqas	Certificate/Diploma	Food Science and Nutrition (Unit 2)	Available 1st May, to be sent to WJEC no later than 1st June				
	A Level	Media Studies	15 <sup>th</sup> May	2 <sup>nd</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April	

## Becket Keys GCSE NEA Deadlines – 2024/25



Exam Board	Level	Subjects	Deadline for marks to exam board	Deadline for student request for reviews	Marks to be given to students after marking and moderation	NEAs completed and handed in by students
	GCSE	Design and Technology Food Preparation and Nutrition PE	7 <sup>th</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April	28 <sup>th</sup> March
AQA		Mandarin (Speaking)	7 <sup>th</sup> May	N/a	N/a	N/a
AQA	ELC	Step Up to English	7 <sup>th</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April	28 <sup>th</sup> March
	GCSE	Art and Design Textiles	31 <sup>st</sup> May	16 <sup>th</sup> May	9 <sup>th</sup> May	29 <sup>th</sup> April
Edexcel	GCSE	English Language (Speaking) Drama	15 <sup>th</sup> May	2 <sup>nd</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April
	GCSE	Spanish (Speaking)	15 <sup>th</sup> May	N/a	N/a	N/a
OCR	GCSE	Music Computer Science	15 <sup>th</sup> May	2 <sup>nd</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April
WJEC	Level 1/2 Vocational	Hospitality and Catering	5 <sup>th</sup> May	25 <sup>th</sup> April	4 <sup>th</sup> April	28 <sup>th</sup> March

Becket Keys Church of England School is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

Becket Keys Church of England School ensures that all centre staff follow a robust policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework. This policy details the procedures relating to non-examination assessments for GCE, GCSE and vocational qualifications.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, who have been trained in this activity and do not have any potential conflicts of interest If AI tools have been used to assist in the marking of candidates' work, they will not be the sole marker. Becket Keys Church of England School is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where more than one subject teacher/tutor is involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of their work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the marking standards to the marking, then the candidate may make use of the appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking.

Becket Keys Church of England School will:

- 1. ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body
- 2. inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of work submitted
- 3. inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (generally as a minimum, a copy of the marked assessment material (work) and the mark scheme or assessment criteria plus additional materials which may vary from subject to subject) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment
- 4. having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate within 2 calendar days. (This will either be the originals viewed under supervised conditions or copies.)
- 5. inform candidates they will not be allowed access to original assessment material, including artefacts, unless supervised
- 6. provide candidates with sufficient time, normally at least five working days, to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision
- 7. provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre's marking. Requests will not be accepted after this deadline. Requests must be made in writing within 5 calendar days of receiving copies of the requested materials using the NEA, Coursework and Project Appeals Form, by following the link on the NEA page of our website. Candidates must explain on what grounds they wish to request a review
- 8. allow 5 calendar days for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission of marks
- 9. ensure that the review of marking is conducted by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate for the component in question and has no personal interest in the outcome of the review
- 10. instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre
- 11. inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking

The outcome of the review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre who will have the final decision if there is any disagreement on the mark to be submitted to the awarding body. A written record of the review will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request.

The awarding body will be informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review.

The moderation process carried out by the awarding body may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body ensures that centre marking is in line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should therefore be considered provisional.

#### Appeals against decisions to reject a candidate's work on the grounds of malpractice

The JCQ Information for candidates documents (Coursework, Non-examination assessments, Social media) which are distributed to all candidates prior to relevant assessments taking place, inform candidates of the things they must and must not do when they are completing their work.

Becket Keys Church of England School ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing work for assessments are aware of the potential for malpractice.

Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication does not need to be reported to the awarding body but will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures. The only exception to this is where the awarding body's confidential assessment material has potentially been breached. The breach will be reported to the awarding body immediately.

If there are doubts about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or irregularities are identified in a candidate's work before the candidate has signed the declaration of authentication/authentication statement (where required) and malpractice is suspected, Becket Keys Church of England School will:

 follow the authentication procedures and/or malpractice instructions in the relevant JCQ document (*Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments/Instructions for conducting coursework*) and any supplementary guidance that may be provided by the awarding body. Where this may lead to the decision to **not** accept the candidate's work for assessment or to reject a candidate's coursework on the grounds of malpractice, the affected candidate will be informed of the decision.

If a candidate who is the subject of the decision disagrees with the decision:

- a written request, setting out as clearly and concisely as possible the grounds for the appeal including any further evidence relevant to supporting the appeal, should be submitted
- an **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 10 calendar/working days of the decision being made know to the appellant

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 30 calendar/working days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

This procedure is informed by the JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (4.6, 6.1, 9), Instructions for conducting coursework (6, 7, 13.5), Review of marking (centre assessed marks) suggested template for centres, Notice to Centres - Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks and Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures (4.5)

# Appeals relating to centre decisions not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

This procedure confirms Becket Keys Church of England School's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.13) that the centre will:

have available for inspection purposes and draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers, a
written internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision
not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an
appeal

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available. These are collated and distributed to students, parents and carers electronically before results day. They are also distributed as a hard copy with results. A detailed timeline of the post results services procedures for our centre are also provided on results day to inform our deadlines and the timescales for these services.

Candidates are also made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results. Candidates are also informed of the periods during which senior members of centre staff will be available immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking. Candidates are made aware/informed by email regarding our process for reviewing all of our results and communicating with students, parents and carers regarding where we think a clerical recheck, review of marking, or review of moderation would be beneficial. We also discuss this process with students, parents and carers on results day. Suggested reviews of marking are then sent to parents, and it is their choice, along with the student, if they want to go ahead with it or not. Parents are also advised that they can go ahead with reviews of marking even if we don't suggest them. Becket Keys Church of England School will not decline any clerical recheck or review or marking if a student, parent or carer wants to go ahead with it. We will discuss it with them and offer our views but will not decline to process this if we do not agree with it.

If the centre or a candidate (or their parent/carer) has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, post-results services may be considered.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below.

#### Reviews of Results (RoRs):

- Service 1 (Clerical re-check) This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests)
- Service 2 (Review of marking)
- Priority Service 2 (Review of marking)
   This service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE A-level specifications. It is also available for Level 3 Vocational and Technical qualifications
- Service 3 (Review of moderation)
   This service is not available to an individual candidate

#### Access to Scripts (ATS):

- Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking
- Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, the centre will look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark schemes, relevant result reports, grade boundary information, etc. when made available by the awarding body to determine if the centre supports any concerns.

For written components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

- 1. Where a place a university or college is at risk, consider supporting a request for a Priority Service 2 review of marking (where the qualification concerned is eligible for this service)
- 2. In all other instances, consider accessing the script by:
  - a) requesting a priority copy of the candidate's script to support a review of marking by the awarding body deadline or
  - b) (where the option is made available by the awarding body) viewing the candidate's marked script online to consider if requesting a review of marking is appropriate

- 3. Collect informed written consent/permission from the candidate to access their script
- 4. On access to the script, consider if it is felt that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly in the original marking and if the centre considers there are any errors in the marking
- 5. Support a request for the appropriate RoR service (clerical re-check or review of marking) if any error is identified
- 6. Collect informed written consent from the candidate to request the RoR service before the request is submitted
- 7. Where relevant, advise an affected candidate to inform any third party (such as a university or college) that a review of marking has been submitted to an awarding body

Written candidate consent (informed consent via candidate email is acceptable) is required in all cases before a request for a RoR service 1 or 2 (including priority service 2) is submitted to the awarding body. Consent is required to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded. Candidate consent must only be collected after the publication of results.

For any moderated components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

- Confirm that a review of moderation cannot be undertaken on the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample submitted for moderation
- Consult the moderator's report/feedback to identify any issues raised
- Determine if the centre's internally assessed marks have been accepted without change by the awarding body if this is the case, a RoR service 3 (Review of moderation) will not be available
- Determine if there are any grounds to submit a request for a review of moderation for the work of all candidates in the original sample

#### Centre actions in the event of a disagreement (dispute)

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, the centre will:

- For a review of marking (RoR priority service 2), advise the candidate they may request the review by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre
- For a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2), first advise the candidate to access a copy of their script to support a review of marking by providing written permission for the centre to access the script (and any required administration fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- After accessing the script to consider the marking, inform the candidate that if a request for a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2) is required, this must be submitted by the deadline set by the centre by providing informed written consent (and the required fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (RoR service 3) cannot be requested for the work of an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample]

Becket Keys Church of England School will not refuse to process a RoR if it does not support it.

Following the RoR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications **Post-Results Services** and **JCQ Appeals Booklet** (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the RoR outcome, but the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the **JCQ Appeals Booklet**. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.

The **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted to the centre within 10 calendar days of the notification of the outcome of the RoR. Subject to the head of centre's decision, this will allow the centre to process the preliminary appeal and submit to the awarding body within the required **30 calendar days** of the awarding body issuing the outcome of the review of results process. Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer). If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.

#### Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

This procedure confirms Becket Keys Church of England School's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.3z) that the centre will:

have in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written internal appeals
procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and
special consideration

Becket Keys Church of England School will:

- comply with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and special consideration as set out in the JCQ publications Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments and A guide to the special consideration process
- ensure that all staff who manage and implement access arrangements and special consideration are aware of the requirements and are appropriately supported and resourced

#### Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

In accordance with the regulations, Becket Keys Church of England School:

- recognises its duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, through the access arrangements
  process submit applications for reasonable adjustments and make reasonable adjustments to the service the
  centre provides to disabled candidates.
- complies with its responsibilities in identifying, determining and implementing appropriate access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Failure to comply with the regulations have the potential to constitute malpractice which may impact on a candidate's result(s).

Examples of failure to comply include:

- putting in place access arrangements/adjustments that are not approved
- failing to consider putting in place access arrangements (which may be a failure to comply with the duty to make reasonable adjustments)
- permitting access arrangements/adjustments within the centre which are not supported by appropriate evidence
- charging a fee for providing reasonable adjustments to disabled candidates

#### **Special consideration**

Where Becket Keys Church of England School has appropriate evidence signed by a member of the senior leadership team to support an application, it will apply for special consideration at the time of the assessment for a candidate who is affected by adverse circumstances beyond their control when the issue or event has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on the candidate's ability to take an assessment or demonstrate his or her normal level of attainment in an assessment.

#### Centre decisions relating to access arrangements, reasonable adjustments and special consideration

This may include Becket Keys Church of England School's decision not to make/apply for a specific reasonable adjustment or to apply for special consideration, in circumstances where a candidate does not meet the criteria for, or there is no evidence/insufficient evidence to support the implementation of an access arrangement/reasonable adjustment or the application of special consideration.

Where Becket Keys Church of England School makes a decision in relation to the access arrangement(s), reasonable adjustment(s) or special consideration that apply for a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate's parent/carer) disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied with its responsibilities or followed due procedures, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted
- An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 14 calendar days of the decision being made known to the appellant.

To determine the outcome of the appeal, the head of centre will consult the respective JCQ publication to confirm the centre has complied with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and/or special consideration and followed due procedures.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 10 calendar days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

If the appeal is upheld, Becket Keys Church of England School will proceed to implement the necessary arrangements/submit the necessary application.

This procedure is informed by the JCQ publications A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes (chapter 3), Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures (section 3.3), General Regulations for Approved Centres (section 5.4), Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments (Importance of these regulations) and A guide to the special consideration process (sections 1, 2, 6)

#### Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to other administrative issues

Circumstances may arise that cause Becket Keys Church of England School to make decisions on administrative issues that may affect a candidate's examinations/assessments.

Where Becket Keys Church of England School may make a decision that affects a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate's parent/carer) disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied the regulations or followed due process, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted
- An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 7 calendar days of the decision being made known to the appellant.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 10 calendar days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

This procedure is informed by the JCQ publication A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes (chapter 7)

#### **Internal Appeals form**



FOR CENTRE USE ONLY

Date received

Please tick box to indicate the nature of your appeal and complete all white boxes\* on the form below

Date received

Reference No.

Appeal against an internal assessment decision and/or request for a review of marking

- Appeal against the centre's decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- Appeal against the centre's decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration
- Appeal against the centre's decision relating to an administrative issue

\*Where the nature of the appeal does not relate directly to an awarding body's specific qualification, indicate N/A in awarding body specific detail boxes

Name of appellant	Candidate name (if different to appellant)			
Awarding body	Exam paper code			
Qualification type Subject	Exam paper title			
Please state the groun	ds for your appeal below:			
(If applicable, tick below)				
U Where my appeal	is against an internal assessment decision, I wish to request a review of the centre's marking			
	If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed			
Appellant signature:	re: Date of signature:			

This form must be signed, dated and returned to the exams officer on behalf of the head of centre to the timescale indicated in the relevant appeals procedure

#### Appeals log

On receipt, all appeals are assigned a reference number and logged. Outcome and outcome date is also recorded.

The outcome of any review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre. A written record of the review will be kept and logged as an appeal, so information can be easily made available to an awarding body upon request. The awarding body will be informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review – this will be noted on this log.

Ref No.	Date received	Appellant name	Outcome	Outcome date

#### Further guidance to inform and implement appeals

#### JCQ publications

- General Regulations for Approved Centres https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations
- Post-Results Services https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/post-results-services
- JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals
- Notice to Centres Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments
- Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/
- Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/
- A guide to the special consideration process https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/

#### **Ofqual publications**

- GCSE (9 to 1) qualification-level conditions and requirements https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-9-to-1-qualification-level-conditions
- GCE qualification-level conditions and requirements https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gce-qualification-level-conditions-and-requirements